DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 076 922 CG 008 132

AUTHOR	Pedrini, D. T.; Pedrini, Bonnie C.
TITLE	Denial: A Bibliography.
PUB DATE	72
NOTE	5p.
EDRS PRICE	MF-$0.65 HC-$3.29
DESCRIPTORS	*Behavior Patterns; *Bibliographies; *Conflict Resolution; Personality; Psychiatry; *Psychological Patterns; *Response Mode

ABSTRACT

Denial was a mechanism that Sigmund Freud worked with in his clinical practice and in his formulation of personality. He considered denial, e.g., in his papers on Repression (1915) and on Fetishism (1927) in Collected Papers, Volumes IV and V respectively (London: Hogarth and The Institute of Psycho-Analysis, 1925 and 1950 respectively). It would be best to read the paper on Fetishism, first. However, there seems to be difficulty in the psychoanalytic, psychological, and psychiatric literature with regard to the concept. One problem is the differentiation of denial within and between the archaic-heritage and the personal-experience of the neonate. Another problem is the overlap with the mechanism of repression. Still another problem is the use of denial by psychotics, who are more difficult to understand, to work with, etc. The added questions of conceptual clarity augment the problems of doing research. Denial, by name, has had little research compared to repression. In this bibliography, there is included research on denial between the late 1920's and the middle 1960's. (Author)

ED 076922

DENIAL: A BIBLIOGRAPHY

D. T. Pedrini and Bonnie C. Pedrini

University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska 68101

Abstract

Denial was a mechanism that Sigmund Freud worked with in his clinical practice and in his formulation of personality. He considered denial, e.g., in his papers on Repression (1915) and on Fetishism (1927) in Collected Papers, Volumes IV and V respectively (London: Hogarth and The Institute of Psycho-Analysis, 1925 and 1950 respectively). It would be best to read the paper on Fetishism, first. However, there seems to be difficulty in the psychoanalytic, psychological, and psychiatric literature with regard to the concept. One problem is the differentiation of denial within and between the archaic-heritage and the personal-experience of the neonate. Another problem is the overlap with the mechanism of repression. Still another problem is the use of denial by psychotics, who are more difficult to understand, to work with, etc. The added questions of conceptual clarity augment the problems of doing research. Denial, by name, has had little research compared to repression. In this bibliography, we have included research on denial between the late 1920's and the middle 1960's.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

CG 008 138

FILMED FROM BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DENIAL

Aubin, H. Forms of denial and psychotherapy. Evolut. psychiat., 1950, No. 4, 565-589.

Badenhausen, B. An experimental study of the mechanism of denial. Dissert. Abstr.,1956, 16, 991-992.

Bahnson, C., & Bahnson, M. B. Role of the ego defenses: Denial and repression in the etiology of malignant neoplasm. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 1966, 125(3), 827-845.

Blum, G. S. An experimental reunion of psychoanalytic theory with perceptual vigilance and defense. J. abnorm. soc. Psychol., 1954, 49, 94-98.

Blum, G. S. Perceptual defense revisited. J. abnorm. soc. Psychol., 1955, 51, 24-29.

Cohen, R. A. Anxiety. Med. Ann., D. C., 1950, 19, 479-484.

Collins, A., & MacKey, J. R. Casework treatment of delinquents who use the primary defense of denial. Soc. Wk., 1954, 4, 34-43.

Douglas, V. The development of two families of defense. Dissert. Abstr. 1959, 20, 1438.

Edlow, D. W., & Kiesler, C. A. Ease of denial and defensive projection. J. exp. soc. Psychol., 1966, 2, 56-59.

Freud, A. The ego and the mechanisms of defence. London: Hogarth and The Institute of Psycho-Analysis, 1937.

Fryer, D. G., & Rich, M. P. Denial of illness in relation to intellectual function. J. nerv. ment. Dis., 1960, 131, 523-527.

Gitelson, M. The role of anxiety in somatic disease. Ann. intern. Med., 1948, 28, 289-297.

Guthrie, T. A study of the syndrome of denial. A. M. A. Arch. Neurol. Psychiat., 1952, 68, 362-371.

Haan, N. Proposed model of ego functioning. Psychol. Monogr., 1963, 77, No. 8.

Haan, N. Coping and defense mechanisms related to personality inventories. J. consult. Psychol., 1965, 29, 375-378.

Hackl, K. The defensive attitude "it is not my fault." Mensch Arbeit., 1953, 5(3), 14-18.

Hare, R. D. Denial of threat and emotional response to impending painful stimulation. J. consult. Psychol., 1966, 30(4), 359-361.

Hill, W. Negation and related levels of defensive verbal behavior. Dissert. Abstr., 1959, 20, 1429.

Hunter, C. G., & Goodstein, L. D. Ego strength and types of defensive and coping behavior. J. consult. Psychol., 1967, 31, 432.

Jacobson, E. Denial and repression. J. Amer. Psychoanal. Ass., 1957, 5, 61-92.

Jacobson, L. I., & Ford, L. H. Jr. Need for approval, defensive denial, and sensitivity to cultural stereotypes. J. Pers., 1966, 34, 596-609.

Kovacs, A. Some antecedents of denial in fantasy as a defense against anger. Dissert. Abstr., 1959, 19, 3366-3367.

Loeber, T. C. The coping functions of the ego mechanisms. In White, R. W. (Ed.), The study of lives. New York: Atherton, 1963.

Laforque, R. Reflections on the concept of repression. Int. Zsch. f. Psychoanal., 1928, 14, 371-374.

Linn, L. The role of perception in the mechanism of denial. J. Amer. Psychoanal. Ass., 1953, 1, 690-705.

MacKinnon, D. W., & Dukes, W. F. Repression. In Postman, L. (Ed.), Psychology in the making. New York: Knopf, 1962.

Miller, D. R., & Swanson, G. E. Inner conflict and defense. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1960.

Modell, A. H. Denial and the sense of separateness. J. Amer. Psychoanal. Ass., 1961, 9, 533-547.

Nathanson, M., Bergan, P. S., & Gordon, G. G. Denial of illness: Its occurrance in one hundred consecutive cases of hemiplegia. A. M. A. Arch. Neurol. Psychiat., 1952, 68, 380-387.

Paganini, A. E., & Zlotkow, M. Denial of lobotomy as a continuation of the defense mechanism of denial in schizophrenia. Psychiat. Quart., 1960, 34, 260-268.

Rado, S. An anxious mother: A contribution to the analysis of the ego. Int. Zsch. f. Psychoanal., 1927, 13, 283-289.

Rubinfine, D. L. On denial of objective sources of anxiety and "pain." Psychoanal. Quart., 1952, 21, 543-544.

Schwartz, D. A. Psychotic reactions: A result of the breakdown of defense by denial. Arch. gen. Psychiat., 1961, 4, 119-123.

Sears, R. R. Survey of objective studies of psychoanalytic concepts. New York: Social Science Research Council, Bull. No. 51, 1943.

Sears, R. R. Experimental analysis of psychoanalytic phenomena. In Hunt, J. Mc V. (Ed.), Personality and the behavior disorders. Vol. I. New York: Ronald, 1944.

Simmel, M. Phantoms, phantom pain and denial. Amer. J. Psychother., 1959, 13, 603-613.

Smock, C. D. Replication and comments: "An experimental reunion of psychoanalytic theory with perceptual vigilance and defense." J. abnorm. soc. Psychol., 1956, 24, 360-377.

Sprague, G. S. Denial in mental illness. Dis. nerv. syst., 1940, 1, 363-368.

Stein, M. H. Premonition as a defense. Psychoanal. Quart., 1953, 22, 69-74.

Stunkard, A. Obesity and the denial of hunger. Psychosom. Med., 1959, 21, 281-289.

Swanson, G. E. Determinants of the individual's defenses against inner conflict: Review and reformulation. In Gridewell, J. C. (Ed.), Parental attitudes and child behavior. Springfield, Ill.: Charles C. Thomas, 1961.

Tuddenham, R. The yielding experiment from the subjects point of view. J. Pers., 1959, 27, 259-271.

Weinstein, E.A., & Kahn, R. L. Personality factors in denial of illness. A. M. A. Arch. Neurol. Psychiat., 1952, 67, 355-367.

Weinstock, A. R. Longitudinal study of social class and defense preferences. J. consult. Psychol., 1967, 31, 539-541.

Weinstock, A. R. Family environment and the development of defensive and coping mechanisms. J. pers. soc. Psychol., 1967, 5, 67-75.

Wylie, R. C. Self ratings, level of ideal-self ratings, and defensiveness. Psychol. Rep., 1965, 16, 135-150.